

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/056,270	01/24/2002	Janusz M. Kucharski	100.323US01	8559
759	02/11/2003		•	
Fogg Slifer Polglaze Leffert & Jay, P.A. P.O. Box 581009 Minneapolis, MN 55458-1009			EXAMINER	
			DINH, TUAN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/056,270	KUCHARSKI, JANUSZ M.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Tuan T Dình	2827
eriod fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any n	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLINALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replinal period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing displacement. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, ly within the statutory minimur will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24.	<u>January 2002</u> .	
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b) The	nis action is non-final	
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application	n.	
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration	n.
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
,	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	i.
	on Papers		
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		•
44)[7] -	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•
السالاا	The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		
12)[7]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		i.
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Admiror.	
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	ın oriority under 35 1 l	S.C. 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)
,	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	in priority dilact oo o	.0.0. § 110(a) (a) 01 (1).
uγ	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been receive	ad.
	Certified copies of the priority document		
	Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But application from the Internation from the	ority documents have	been received in this National Stage
	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis-	•	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
) \square The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •	
ttachmen	t(s)		
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:

Application/Control Number: 10/056,270

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-26, drawn to an electronic device, classified in class 361, subclass 781.
 - Claims 27-46, drawn to a method for manufacturing an electronic device, classified in class 29, subclass 831.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the method can be made in vary different processes, for example: the method can be made by a first half top-circuit board having a first circuit disposing on a first (top) surface connect to a first ground plane disposing on a second surface of the first half top-circuit board, a second half bottom-circuit board having a second circuit disposing on a first (top) surface connect to a second ground plane disposing on a second surface of the first half bottom-circuit board, then electrically interconnecting the first and second ground planes with or without one or more circuit board layers.

Application/Control Number: 10/056,270 Page 3

Art Unit: 2827

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie I Figure 1.

Specie II Figure 2.

Specie III Figure 3.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims are not generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/056,270

Art Unit: 2827

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably

Page 4

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tuan T Dinh whose telephone number is 703-306-5856.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-1341

for regular communications and 703-305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

KAMAND CUNEO

TD February 4, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800